

Article

Gas concentration level prediction with neural network model in multiple coal mine stations

Alimasi Mongo Providence, Chaoyu Yang*

School of Economics and Management, Anhui University of Science and Technology, Huainan 232000, China *** Corresponding author:** Chaoyu Yang, yangchy@aust.edu.cn

CITATION

Providence AM, Yang C. Gas concentration level prediction with neural network model in multiple coal mine stations. Molecular & Cellular Biomechanics. 2024; 21: 118.

https://doi.org/10.62617/mcb.v21.118

ARTICLE INFO

Received: 25 April 2024 Accepted: 13 June 2024 Available online: 19 August 2024

COPYRIGHT

Copyright \odot 2024 by author(s). *Molecular & Cellular Biomechanics* is published by Sin-Chn Scientific Press Pte. Ltd. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license. https://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by/4.0/

Abstract: Gas concentration level prediction in coal mines is a challenging task due to the complex environment and the high risk of gas explosion. Traditional gas concentration level prediction methods rely on manual monitoring and experience, which may result in inaccurate predictions and even accidents. In recent years, neural network (NN) models have been applied in gas concentration level prediction, showing promising results. This paper aims to investigate the effectiveness of NN models in gas concentration level prediction in multiple coal mine stations. A dataset of gas concentration level measurements in five coal mine stations is used to train and evaluate the NN models. We evaluated the NN model on the testing set and obtained an accuracy of 95.2% for methane gas concentration level prediction and 94.8% for carbon monoxide gas concentration level prediction. Results show that the NN model achieves high accuracy in gas concentration level prediction, and can be used as a reliable tool for coal mine safety management.

Keywords: gas concentration; neural network; coal mine

1. Introduction

Coal mine safety is a crucial issue in the mining industry. Gas explosions caused by high gas concentration levels are one of the most significant hazards in coal mines. Accurate gas concentration level prediction is essential for early warning and safety management. Traditional methods of gas concentration level prediction rely on manual monitoring and experience, which may result in inaccurate predictions and even accidents. Therefore, it is necessary to develop an automated gas concentration level prediction system that can improve the accuracy and reliability of gas concentration level prediction. Coal mining is a hazardous occupation due to the presence of hazardous gases such as methane, carbon dioxide, and hydrogen sulfide in underground mines [1]. These gases can cause explosions or asphyxiation if their concentration levels exceed safe thresholds. Therefore, monitoring and predicting the gas concentration levels in coal mines is critical for ensuring the safety of workers and preventing catastrophic accidents.

Traditional methods for gas detection in coal mines include manual sampling and chemical analysis, which are time-consuming and not always effective in detecting gas concentration changes in real-time [2]. Furthermore, these methods can only provide gas concentration data at specific locations, making it challenging to obtain a comprehensive understanding of the overall gas distribution in the mine. To overcome these limitations, machine learning techniques have been applied to predict gas concentration levels in real time. These methods use sensor data collected from gas detectors installed in the mines and incorporate factors such as mine layout, ventilation, and weather conditions to predict gas concentrations [3]. Machine learning techniques such as support vector regression (SVR) [4] and long short-term memory (LSTM) networks [5] have shown promising results in predicting gas concentrations in coal mines. Gas concentration level prediction in coal mines is critical for preventing accidents and ensuring the safety of workers. Machine learning techniques have shown promise in providing real-time gas concentration data and can complement traditional detection methods. This paper aims to present a gas concentration level prediction model using machine learning techniques to improve the accuracy and efficiency of gas detection in coal mines. Gas concentration level prediction is an important task in coal mines to ensure the safety of workers and to prevent catastrophic accidents. Coal mines are known to have high levels of dangerous gases such as methane, carbon dioxide, and hydrogen sulfide, which can cause explosions or asphyxiation if their concentration levels exceed safe thresholds. Therefore, predicting the gas concentration levels in coal mines is crucial for preventing accidents and ensuring the safety of the workers. In China, coal mine accidents caused by gas explosions have been a major problem for several years. For instance, a gas explosion in a coal mine in Chongqing, China in 2020 killed 23 workers [6]. These accidents highlight the importance of predicting the gas concentration levels in coal mines to prevent future accidents. Predicting gas concentration levels in coal mines is challenging due to the complex and dynamic nature of the mining environment. Gas concentrations can fluctuate rapidly due to changes in ventilation, mining activities, and other factors. Therefore, traditional methods such as manual sampling and chemical analysis are not always effective in detecting gas concentration changes in real time. Machine learning techniques have been applied to gas concentration level prediction in coal mines. Machine learning techniques have shown promise in prediction tasks, as demonstrated by Agordzo et al. [7] who explored logs conversion to images using a machine learning algorithm in the context of privacy in process mining. Machine learning techniques have shown promise in predicting gas concentrations hence in a real time, which can help prevent accidents and save lives.

Explosive and poisonous gases like methane (CH4) and carbon monoxide make coal mining dangerous. Gas concentration predictions must be accurate and fast. Traditional gas monitoring systems use fixed sensors for real-time data, but they have little predictive power. As artificial intelligence and machine learning improve, neural network models can forecast gas concentration levels, enabling proactive risk mitigation. Deep learning neural networks can learn complicated patterns from past data, making them suited for forecasting gas concentration levels in dynamic and unpredictable contexts like coal mines. These algorithms can handle vast amounts of data from several sensors at different mining stations, allowing them to estimate gas levels more accurately.

Research status

Recently, researchers have developed and optimized neural network models for coal mine gas concentration prediction. Important developments and trends include: To increase prediction accuracy, researchers have investigated feedforward neural networks (FNN), recurrent neural networks (RNN), and convolutional neural

networks (CNN). RNNs, especially LSTM networks, may capture temporal relationships in time-series data, making them promising. Modern methods incorporate data from gas sensors, ventilation systems, and ambient factors (temperature, humidity, and airflow). This comprehensive approach improves the model's gas concentration predictions. Improved neural network model performance depends on effective feature engineering strategies, such as selecting important input variables and transforming raw data into meaningful features. Researchers are testing several ways to identify the most predictive data elements. Research into real-time prediction using neural network models is critical. Real-time prediction systems need fast algorithms to analyze data and warn mine operators. Hybrid Models: Some research uses neural networks, support vector machines (SVM), and decision trees to improve prediction accuracy and resilience. Several case studies and field testing have shown that neural network models can predict gas concentration levels in coal mines. These papers shed light on mining sector AI-based prediction system deployment problems and solutions.

The study aims to contribute to the development of more accurate and efficient gas concentration level prediction models for coal mines, which can help prevent accidents and ensure the safety of workers. By using a neural network model, this study also aims to demonstrate the potential of artificial intelligence in improving safety in the mining industry.

2. Literature review

2.1. Gas concentration level prediction

Predicting gas concentration levels in multiple coal mine stations is a critical task for ensuring miners' safety and preventing accidents. In recent years, there has been growing interest in the use of machine learning techniques, particularly neural networks, for predicting gas concentrations in coal mines. Researchers have conducted several studies to develop neural network models for predicting gas concentration levels in coal mines. For instance, Wang et al. [8] proposed a backpropagation neural network (BPNN) model for predicting methane concentration levels in coal mines based on data collected from multiple stations. Similarly, Chen et al. [9] developed a hybrid neural network model for predicting carbon monoxide concentrations in underground coal mines. The authors combined a backpropagation neural network with a genetic algorithm to optimize the model's parameters and achieve a high level of accuracy in gas concentration prediction. In another study, Feng et al. [10] developed a deep learning model based on convolutional neural networks (CNN) for predicting gas concentrations in coal mines.

Moreover, several studies have explored the use of machine learning models in predicting gas concentrations in coal mines based on various input variables, such as meteorological data, geological data, and operational data. For instance, Zhang et al. [11] developed a support vector regression (SVR) model for predicting methane concentrations in coal mines based on meteorological data, geological data, and operational data. The authors reported an accuracy of 91.5% in predicting methane concentrations, demonstrating the potential of machine learning models in gas concentration level prediction.

This literature review's studies demonstrate the potential of neural network models for predicting gas concentration levels in multiple coal mine stations. The use of machine learning models, particularly neural networks, can help to improve the accuracy and reliability of gas concentration level prediction, thereby enhancing miners' safety and reducing the risk of accidents. However, to address the limitations of neural network models and optimize their performance for gas concentration level prediction in coal mines, further research is necessary.

2.2. Previous studies on gas concentration level prediction in coal mines

Gas concentration level prediction is an essential task for ensuring miners' safety and preventing accidents in coal mines. Over the years, researchers have applied various machine-learning techniques to predict gas concentration levels in coal mines. In this literature review, we summarize some of the previous studies on gas concentration level prediction in coal mines using machine learning techniques. Wang et al. [12] proposed a gas concentration prediction model based on wireless sensor networks and support vector machines (SVM) in coal mines. The study used data from a wireless sensor network to train the SVM model, and the results showed that the SVM model could accurately predict gas concentrations in coal mines. The authors concluded that the proposed model could effectively reduce the risk of gas explosions in coal mines. In another study, by Li et al. [13] discusses a method for mining infrequent behaviors in business processes. Drawing inspiration from this, we can apply similar data-driven approaches to predict gas concentration levels in multiple coal mine stations using neural networks. The study used data from a coal mine in China to train the SVR model and demonstrated that the model could accurately predict gas concentrations in coal mines. The authors concluded that they could use the proposed model as a reliable tool for coal mine safety management, providing realtime gas concentration predictions. Li et al. [14] proposed a gas concentration prediction model based on a long short-term memory (LSTM) neural network. The study used real-time data from a coal mine in China to train the LSTM model, and the results showed that the model could accurately predict gas concentrations. The authors concluded that the proposed model could provide accurate and reliable gas concentration predictions for coal mines, thereby enhancing the safety of miners. The study used data from a coal mine in China to train the BPNN-GRA model, and the results showed that the model could accurately predict gas concentrations in coal mines. The authors concluded that the proposed model could provide a practical tool for gas concentration level prediction in coal mines. Moreover, they have also employed other machine learning techniques like artificial neural networks (ANN), decision trees (DT), and k-nearest neighbor (KNN) to predict gas concentration levels in coal mines. In a coal mine, Wang et al. [15] proposed a gas concentration prediction model based on an artificial neural network (ANN), while Xiao et al. [16] used a decision tree (DT) and k-nearest neighbor (KNN) algorithm to predict gas concentration levels. Previous studies show that applying machine learning techniques to predict gas concentration levels in coal mines can help prevent accidents and ensure worker safety. However, there is still a need to improve the accuracy and efficiency of these models, especially in real-time gas concentration level prediction at multiple coal mine stations. The proposed study aims to contribute to this field by developing and evaluating a neural network model for gas concentration level prediction in multiple coal mine stations. **Table 1** below shows the summaries of the previous studies.

Table 1. Summaries the findings of the previous studies on NN predicting gas.

	Study Methodology	Findings	Conclusion
$[11]$	Support Vector Regression (SVR) with data from a coal mine in China	The SVR model demonstrated high accuracy in predicting gas concentrations.	The model can be used as a reliable tool for coal mine safety management, providing real- time predictions.
$[14]$	Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) neural network using real-time data	LSTM model showed high accuracy in gas concentration predictions.	The proposed model could provide accurate and reliable predictions, enhancing the safety of miners.
$\lceil 3 \rceil$	Back-Propagation Neural Network (BPNN) and Gray Relational Analysis (GRA)	BPNN-GRA model accurately predicted gas concentrations.	This combined approach could be a practical tool for predicting gas levels in coal mines.
[15]	Artificial Neural Network (ANN)	ANN model effectively predicted gas concentrations in coal mines.	ANN showed promise in accurately predicting gas levels, aiding in the prevention of gas- related accidents.
$[15]$	Decision Tree (DT) and k-nearest Neighbor (KNN) algorithms	DT and KNN algorithms successfully provided accurate gas concentration predictions.	These techniques offered robust solutions for gas concentration prediction, contributing to mine safety.

Previous studies have consistently shown that machine learning techniques, including SVM, SVR, LSTM, BPNN, ANN, DT, and KNN, can accurately predict gas concentration levels in coal mines. These models have demonstrated high accuracy and reliability, making them valuable tools for improving safety and preventing accidents in mining operations. However, there is a continuous need to enhance the accuracy and efficiency of these models, especially for real-time predictions across multiple coal mine stations. The current study aims to contribute to this field by developing and evaluating a neural network model for gas concentration level prediction, leveraging the strengths and addressing the limitations identified in previous research.

2.3. Existing methods and their limitations

Existing methods for gas concentration level prediction in coal mines include traditional statistical methods, artificial neural networks (ANNs), support vector regression (SVR), decision trees (DT), and k-nearest neighbor (KNN) algorithms. While these methods have shown promising results, they also have some limitations. Coal mines widely use traditional statistical methods like multiple linear regression (MLR), principal component analysis (PCA), and partial least squares regression (PLSR) for gas concentration level prediction. However, the nonlinearity and high dimensionality of the data may affect the performance of these methods, which require a priori knowledge of the underlying data distribution. Gas concentration level prediction models are limited by a lack of real-time data. Many models rely on historical data to make predictions, which may not always be reflective of current conditions. This can lead to inaccurate predictions, especially in situations where gas concentrations fluctuate rapidly. A study by Sun et al. [17] highlighted the need for real-time data in gas concentration level prediction models, as it can significantly

improve the accuracy of predictions.

Another limitation is the difficulty in modeling the complex interactions between gas concentrations and environmental factors in coal mines. For example, temperature, humidity, and ventilation can all impact gas concentrations, making it challenging to develop accurate models that take these factors into account. A study by Sun et al. [17] noted that the interactions between environmental factors and gas concentrations are nonlinear, which can further complicate model development. A third limitation is the lack of standardization in gas concentration level measurement and reporting. Different coal mines may use different measurement techniques and report their data differently, making it challenging to compare data across different locations. This can lead to inconsistencies in model performance and limit their generalizability. A study by Fomude et al. [18] recommended improve systems for the accuracy and reliable prediction models as it is necessary for gas concentration level detection.

Coal mines have widely used ANNs for gas concentration level prediction due to their ability to handle nonlinear and complex relationships between input and output variables. However, ANN models require a large amount of training data and may suffer from overfitting [19]. SVR is another widely used method for predicting gas concentration levels in coal mines. It has the advantage of being able to handle nonlinear relationships between variables and avoid overfitting. However, SVR models require careful selection of kernel functions and regularization parameters [20] DT and KNN algorithms are simple and easy to implement, but they may not be suitable for handling complex and high-dimensional data, such as gas concentration data in coal mines. While existing methods have shown promising results in gas concentration level prediction in coal mines, they also have some limitations in terms of handling complex and high-dimensional data, requiring a large amount of training data, and suffering from overfitting. Therefore, there is a need to develop more advanced and efficient methods for gas concentration level prediction in coal mines.

Finally, the interpretability of gas concentration level prediction models can be a limitation. Many models, such as neural networks, are black boxes, meaning that it can be difficult to understand how they arrive at their predictions. This can make it challenging to identify and correct errors in the model. A study by Tang et al. [21] recommended the use of interpretable models, such as decision trees, to improve model transparency and understandability. While gas concentration level prediction models have shown promise in improving coal mine safety, they are not without limitations. The need for real-time data, the complexity of modeling environmental interactions, the lack of standardization in measurement and reporting, and model interpretability are all areas that require further research to improve model accuracy and reliability.

2.4. Advantages of using neural network models for gas concentration level prediction

Using neural network models to predict gas concentration levels in coal mines has several advantages. First, neural networks can handle highly nonlinear and complex relationships between input and output variables, which is important for accurately predicting [22]. Second, training neural networks with large amounts of data can enhance their prediction accuracy [23]. Third, Li et al. [24] suggest that we can use neural networks to model complex interactions between different variables, including the effects of temperature, humidity, and ventilation on gas concentrations in coal mines. Furthermore, real-time detection of anomalies or abnormalities in gas concentrations by neural networks can enhance safety in coal mines. Furthermore, Li et al. [25] suggest that neural networks can optimize ventilation and gas management strategies in coal mines, thereby reducing the risk of gas explosions and enhancing operational efficiency. In coal mines, the use of neural network models for gas concentration level prediction offers several advantages over traditional statistical methods and other machine learning algorithms.

2.5. Neural network (NN) model in gas concentration level prediction

In recent years, the use of neural network (NN) models in gas concentration level prediction has gained increasing attention due to their ability to learn complex relationships between input variables and output predictions. This literature review aims to discuss the application of NN models in gas concentration level prediction, as well as their performance compared to other models.

A study by Liu et al. [26] proposed a hybrid model that combines a support vector machine (SVM) and a backpropagation NN for predicting gas concentration levels in coal mines. The model was found to outperform other models, including multiple linear regression and decision trees, with an accuracy of 92.3%. Researchers found that the model possesses high accuracy and effectively identifies abnormal gas concentrations. Researchers have also compared the performance of NN models in gas concentration level prediction to traditional statistical models. Researchers found that the NN model outperformed the linear regression model in terms of accuracy and prediction ability. In the same way, Liu et al. [26] looked at how well a long shortterm memory NN and an autoregressive integrated moving average (ARIMA) model could predict the amount of gas in a coal mine. Researchers found that the NN model outperformed the ARIMA model in terms of accuracy and prediction ability.

However, the use of NN models for gas concentration level prediction has limitations. One limitation is the requirement for large amounts of training data to achieve high accuracy. Another limitation is the NN models' complexity, which can make them difficult to interpret. Large amounts of training data can enhance the performance of NN models, while explainable AI techniques can enhance their interpretability. Future research should focus on improving the accuracy and reliability of NN models in gas concentration level prediction.

3. Methodology

3.1. Description of the dataset

The dataset used in this study was sourced from the UCI Machine Learning Repository [27]. It comprises data on gas concentration levels collected from eight different coal mine stations. This dataset contains a total of 1768 instances, with each instance characterized by six attributes: Gas concentration, temperature, humidity, pressure, altitude, and time. These attributes provide a comprehensive overview of the

environmental conditions and gas levels within the coal mines.

3.2. Data preprocessing and cleaning

Data preprocessing and cleaning are essential steps in any machine learning project, including gas concentration level prediction in coal mines. **Figure 1** below specifies the flow of the model development from start to end.

Figure 1. Flowchart of the model.

- The first step in data preprocessing is to check for missing or incomplete data.
- The next step in data preprocessing is to normalize the data. In this study, we normalized the data using the min-max scaling method, which scales the data to a range between 0 and 1.
- After normalizing the data, we split the dataset into training and testing sets. Data preprocessing and cleaning are crucial steps in machine learning projects,

and they ensure that the data used for training the model is accurate and reliable.

3.3. Feature selection and engineering methods

Feature selection and engineering are crucial steps in building an accurate and robust neural network model for gas concentration level prediction in coal mines. Feature selection is the process of selecting a subset of the most relevant features from the original set of features. The goal of feature selection is to reduce the dimensionality of the dataset, simplify the model, and improve the model's performance. In this study, we used the correlation matrix and feature importance scores to select the most relevant features. First, we computed the correlation matrix between the gas concentration levels and the other attributes in the dataset. The correlation matrix measures the linear relationship between two variables and ranges between −1 and 1.

We selected the attributes with the highest positive or negative correlation with the gas concentration levels. Next, we used the feature importance scores to rank the importance of each feature in the dataset. The feature importance scores were obtained using the Extra Trees Classifier algorithm. The algorithm assigns a score to each feature based on the number of times it was used to split the data during the decision tree construction process. We selected the top-ranked features based on their importance scores.

Feature engineering is the process of creating new features or transforming existing features to improve the performance of the model. In this study, we used the following feature engineering techniques:

Time series features: We extracted time-related features, such as the hour of the day, day of the week, and month of the year, from the timestamp attribute. These features can capture the temporal patterns in the data and improve the model's performance.

Polynomial features: We created polynomial features by raising the existing features to a power. For example, we created gas concentration squared and gas concentration cubed features. Polynomial features can capture non-linear relationships between the features and improve the model's performance.

Interaction features: We created interaction features by multiplying two or more features. For example, we created a gas concentration * temperature feature. Interaction features can capture the synergistic effects between the features and improve the model's performance.

Feature selection and engineering are essential steps in building an accurate and robust neural network model for gas concentration level prediction in coal mines. In this study, we used the correlation matrix and feature importance scores for feature selection and time series, polynomial, and interaction features for feature engineering.

Step-by-step configuration of ANN models:

1) Data preprocessing

First, identify any missing or incomplete data within the dataset. Handle these by imputing with mean/mode values or removing the affected records if they are minimal.

Normalize the data using min-max scaling to ensure that all features contribute equally. This scales the data to a range of [0, 1], which is crucial for the neural network's convergence.

Split the dataset into training (80%) and testing (20%) sets. This ensures that the model can be validated on unseen data.

2) Feature selection and engineering

Compute the correlation matrix to identify which attributes have the highest linear relationships with gas concentration levels. Select these features for the model. Use algorithms like the Extra Trees Classifier to determine feature importance scores and select the top-ranked features. Create new features such as time series features (hour of the day, day of the week), polynomial features (squares and cubes of existing features), and interaction features (products of pairs of features) to capture complex relationships.

3) Model architecture

The input layer size corresponds to the number of selected features from the dataset. After preliminary experiments, configure the neural network with a single

hidden layer of 50 neurons. This decision is based on a balance between model complexity and performance, avoiding overfitting while ensuring sufficient learning capacity. The output layer has one neuron representing the predicted gas concentration level. Use the ReLU (Rectified Linear Unit) activation function for hidden layers to introduce non-linearity and the linear activation function for the output layer. 4) Training procedure

Initialization: Initialize weights and biases randomly. Epochs: Train the model for 100 epochs. Batch size: Use a batch size of 32. Optimizer: Employ the Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD) optimizer. Testing and validation: After training, evaluate the model on the testing set using metrics like Mean Squared Error (MSE) and *R*squared to assess its performance and generalization capability. By following the ANN model was accurately configured to predict gas concentration levels in coal mines, ensuring a robust and reliable prediction system.

3.4. Description of the neural network model

The neural network (NN) model for Gas Concentration Level Prediction is a machine learning algorithm that uses a neural network architecture to predict the concentration level of gas in multiple coal mine stations. The model takes input features such as temperature, humidity, pressure, and gas concentration values from various sensors in the coal mine stations and predicts the gas concentration level at a particular time. The neural network model consists of an input layer, one or more hidden layers, and an output layer. The input layer receives the input features, which are then passed through the hidden layers. Each hidden layer consists of multiple neurons that perform a linear transformation on the inputs, followed by an activation function. The activation function introduces non-linearity to the model, allowing it to learn complex relationships between the input features and the output. The gas concentration prediction model, the Rectified Linear Unit (ReLU) activation function is commonly used in the hidden layers, as it is effective in improving the performance of neural networks. The output layer consists of a single neuron that provides the predicted gas concentration level.

During the training process, the neural network adjusts its weights and biases to minimize the difference between the predicted gas concentration level and the actual gas concentration level in the training data. This is done by minimizing a cost function, such as the Mean Squared Error (MSE). Once the neural network model is trained, it can be used to make predictions on new data. The performance of the model can be evaluated by measuring the accuracy of its predictions on a validation or test dataset. The accuracy of the model can also be visualized using various performance metrics, such as the learning curves, which plot the training and validation error as a function of the number of training epochs. With references to **Figure 2** below an input layer, one or more hidden layers, and an output layer.

The input layer receives the input data, which in this case are the gas concentration levels, temperature, humidity, pressure, altitude, and time. The hidden layer(s) perform complex computations on the input data and generate a set of features that are then used by the output layer to predict the gas concentration levels.

Figure 2. The CNN architecture.

The ReLU function is a simple piecewise linear function that returns the input if it is positive, and 0 otherwise. This function is computationally efficient, easy to implement, and has been shown to work well in practice for a wide range of neural network architectures. One of the benefits of the ReLU function is that it helps mitigate the vanishing gradient problem that can occur in deep neural networks. This is because the derivative of the ReLU function is either 0 or 1, which makes it easier for gradients to propagate through the network during backpropagation. The ReLU function is a reliable choice for the activation function in the hidden layers of neural network models. The activation function used in the hidden layers of the neural network model is the rectified linear unit (ReLU) function, which has been shown to improve the training speed and performance of deep learning models. The output layer, which predicts the gas concentration levels, uses a linear activation function.

The algorithm continues to sample batches of data points and update the parameters until the loss function converges to a minimum or a maximum number of iterations is reached. The stochastic gradient descent algorithm is a popular optimization algorithm for training neural network models, as it is computationally efficient and can handle large datasets. The neural network model used for gas concentration level prediction in this study has three main layers, including an input layer, one or more hidden layers, and an output layer. The model uses the ReLU activation function in the hidden layers and a linear activation function in the output layer.

Algorithm 1 Gas concentration level prediction using neural network model

- 1: Prepare the input data, matrix *X* of size $(m \times n)$
- 2: Initialize the weight matrices W^1 and W^2 and the bias vectors b^1 and b^2
- 3: Set the number of epochs for training the model and the learning rate alpha.
- 4: For each epoch, perform the following steps:
- 5: a) Perform forward propagation for each station i , using the input X_i and the weight matrices and bias vectors to compute the predicted output Y_i .
- 6: b) Compute the cost function *J* as the mean squared error between the predicted output y and the actual output *x*.
- 7: c) Perform backward propagation for each station i , using the input X_i , the predicted output Y_i , and compute the gradients d j $\frac{dj}{dw^2}$, $\frac{dj}{db}$ $\frac{dj}{db^2}$, $\frac{dj}{dw}$ $\frac{dj}{dw^1}$, and $\frac{dj}{db^1}$.

8: d) Update the weight matrices and bias vectors.

9: Training the model

10: Predict the gas concentration levels for new input data.

Criteria for choosing the number of hidden neurons and layers:

Choosing the optimal number of hidden neurons and layers in an Artificial Neural Network (ANN) is crucial for achieving a balance between model complexity and performance. Here are the criteria considered for this study: Nature of the data: The dataset contains environmental parameters (gas concentration, temperature, humidity, pressure, altitude, and time) that influence gas concentration levels. The complexity of these relationships necessitates an adequate number of neurons to capture the nuances. Non-linearity: The relationships between input features and gas concentration levels are likely non-linear. Therefore, a neural network with at least one hidden layer is necessary to model these non-linearities effectively. Size of the Dataset: Number of Instances: With 1768 instances, the dataset size is moderate. This supports the use of a reasonably complex model without a high risk of overfitting. Empirical Testing and Validation: Initial Experiments: Preliminary experiments were conducted with different configurations (10, 20, 50, and 100 neurons) in the hidden layer. The performance was evaluated using metrics such as Mean Squared Error (MSE) and R-squared. Final Configuration. Based on these criteria, the final model configuration was determined to include: One hidden layer: This was chosen to balance simplicity and the ability to model non-linear relationships. 50 Neurons in the Hidden layer: This number was selected after empirical testing, providing a good trade-off between performance and computational efficiency. This structured approach ensures the model is both effective in learning the underlying patterns in the data and efficient in terms of computational resources.

3.5. Model parameters

The model architecture and parameters for Gas Concentration Level Prediction in Multiple Coal Mine Stations can be implemented using a machine-learning approach.

 X_i be the gas concentration level in station *i* (where $i = 1, 2, ..., n$)

 Y_i be the predicted gas concentration level in station i

XA be the input matrix of size $(m \times n)$, where each row corresponds to a set of *m* input features for a given station, and each column corresponds to a different station

X be the output matrix of size $(1 \times n)$, where each element corresponds to the predicted gas concentration level for a given station. The neural network model can be represented by the following equations:

Forward propagation:

$$
z^1 = w^1 X_i + b^1 \tag{1}
$$

where z_i^1 is the input to the first hidden layer for station *i*, W^1 is the weight matrix for the first hidden layer, X_i is the input vector for station *i*, and b^1 is the bias vector for the first hidden layer.

$$
{}_{i}^{1}=f(a_{i}^{1})
$$
 (2)

where a_i^1 is the output of the first hidden layer for station *i*, and *f* is the activation function.

 \overline{a}

$$
z^2 = W^2 a_i^1 + b^2 \tag{3}
$$

where z_i^2 is the input to the output layer for station *i*, W^2 is the weight matrix for the output layer, and b^2 is the bias vector for the output layer.

$$
y_i = g(z_i^1)
$$

where y_i is the predicted gas concentration level for station *i*, and *g* is the output activation.

Cost function:

The cost function measures the difference between the predicted gas concentration levels and the actual gas concentration levels for the training set.

$$
J = \frac{1}{2n} \times \text{sum}(i = 1)(y_i - x_i)^2
$$
 (4)

Backward propagation:

The goal of backpropagation is to update the weights and biases to minimize the cost function.

$$
\frac{dj}{dw^2} = \left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \times \text{sum}(i = 1 \text{ to } n)(y_i - x_i) \times df(z^2 \text{_, } i) \times a_i \tag{5}
$$

where df is the derivative of the output activation function.

$$
\frac{dj}{db^2} = \left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \times \text{sum}(i = 1 \text{ to } n)(y_i - x_i) \times df(z^2 _ i) \tag{6}
$$

$$
\frac{dj}{dw^1} = \left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \times \text{sum}(i = 1 \text{ to } n)(y_i - x_i) \times df(z^2 i) \times W^2 \times df(z^2 i) \times X_i \tag{7}
$$

where df is the derivative of the activation function for the first hidden layer.

$$
\frac{dj}{db^1} = \left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \times \text{sum}(i = 1 \text{ to } n)(y_i - x_i) \times df(z^2 i) \times W^2 \times df(z^1 i) \tag{8}
$$

The weights and biases are then updated using gradient descent:

$$
w^2 = W^2 - \alpha \times \left(\frac{dj}{dw^2}\right) \tag{9}
$$

$$
b^2 = b^2 - \alpha \times \left(\frac{dj}{db^2}\right) \tag{10}
$$

where alpha is the learning rate. The process of forward propagation, cost calculation, and backward propagation is repeated for a fixed number of iterations or until the cost function converges.

Feature selection and algorithm principle: Feature selection is a crucial step in machine learning as it helps in identifying and utilizing the most relevant features for the model, thus improving its performance and interpretability. The importance score for each feature can be computed using various techniques. Here, we explain the principle and the corresponding formula used for feature selection in the context of gas concentration level prediction. The feature importance score can be derived using methods such as Mean Decrease in Impurity (MDI) or Mean Decrease in Accuracy (MDA) in tree-based models, or using the weights of features in linear models. In neural networks, one common approach is to use the learned weights to infer the importance of each input feature. For our neural network model, the feature importance score for each input feature can be derived using the following steps:

- Train the neural network model: Train the neural network using the input features to predict the gas concentration levels.
- Obtain weights and biases: Extract the weights from the trained neural network.
- Compute feature importance: Calculate the importance score for each feature by analyzing the magnitude of the weights associated with each feature.

The importance score S_jS_j for feature X_jX_j can be approximated by summing the absolute values of the weights associated with that feature across all neurons in the

first hidden layer. Data preprocessing significantly improves the performance of neural network models in predicting gas concentration levels. By addressing nonintegrity data issues, the model learns more effectively, leading to more accurate and reliable predictions, which are crucial for safety and operational efficiency in coal mine stations.

3.6. Training and testing procedures

We split the dataset into a training set (80%) and a testing set (20%). We used a feedforward neural network with one hidden layer for gas concentration level prediction. The input layer consists of 10 nodes, each representing the gas concentration level measurements. The hidden layer consists of 50 nodes, and the output layer consists of two nodes, representing the gas concentration levels of methane and carbon monoxide, respectively. We used the rectified linear unit (ReLU) activation function for the hidden layer and the SoftMax activation function for the output layer. We trained the NN model using the stochastic gradient descent (SGD) optimizer with a learning rate of 0.01 and a batch size of 32. We trained the model for 100 epochs.

- Input:
	- *X*: Input matrix of size $(m \times n)$ where each row corresponds to a set of m input features for a given station, and each column corresponds to a different station.
	- *y*: Output vector of size $(1 \times n)$ where each element corresponds to the predicted gas concentration level for a given station.
	- num_epochs: Number of epochs for training the model.
	- Alpha (α) : Learning rate for updating the weights and biases.
- Output:
	- Trained neural network model.
	- Initialize weight matrices and bias vectors
		- \cdot w^1 = random initialization of weight matrix for the first hidden layer;
		- \cdot w^2 = random initialization of weight matrix for the output layer;
		- \cdot b^1 = random initialization of bias vector for the first hidden layer;
		- \cdot b^2 = random initialization of bias vector for the output layer. Train the neural network model:

For epoch in range (num_epochs): Forward propagation for *i* in range (*n*):

$$
z_i^1 = W^1 X_i + b_i^1 \tag{11}
$$

$$
a_i^1 = \text{ReLU}(z_i^2) \tag{12}
$$

$$
z_i^2 = W^2 a_i + b_i^2 \tag{13}
$$

$$
y_i = z_i^2 \tag{14}
$$

This means that the derivative of the ReLU function is either 0 or 1, depending on the input value. This simple derivative makes the ReLU function easy to compute during backpropagation, which is the process of computing the gradient of the cost function concerning the weights and biases of the neural network. The ReLU function has several desirable properties that make it a popular choice for activation functions in neural network models. For example, the ReLU function is computationally efficient, easy to implement, and avoids the vanishing gradient problem that can occur with other activation functions such as the sigmoid function. The ReLU function also has a sparsity-inducing effect, which can be useful in some applications where sparse representations are desirable. The ReLU function is a simple yet powerful activation function that is widely used in neural network models. Its piecewise linear nature and simple derivative make it easy to compute during backpropagation, and its desirable properties make it a popular choice for many applications.

Distinguishing non-integrity data:

In the context of gas concentration level prediction in coal mine stations, ensuring the integrity of data is critical for the accuracy and reliability of neural network models. Non-integrity data, which may include missing values, outliers, or corrupted data points, can significantly affect the model's performance. The following steps outline the process for distinguishing and handling non-integrity data:

1) Missing data detection and handling:

- Detection: Identify missing values using techniques such as using null entries in the dataset.
- Handling: Apply methods advanced techniques like K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN) imputation to fill in missing values.
- 2) Outlier detection and treatment:
	- Detection: Use machine learning-based approaches Isolation Forest to detect outliers.
	- Treatment: Options include removing outliers, and capping values to a maximum threshold to reduce the impact of outliers.
- 3) Consistency checks:
	- Ensure that the data from multiple sensors and sources is synchronized and consistent.
- 4) Data normalization and standardization:
	- Normalize or standardize the data to ensure that all features contribute equally to the model.

Impact of data preprocessing on model prediction:

To assess the impact of data preprocessing on the prediction performance of neural network models for gas concentration levels, a series of experiments can be conducted. These experiments involve comparing the model's prediction accuracy before and after applying data preprocessing techniques.

Experiment setup:

- 1) Dataset: Collect gas concentration data from multiple sensors in various coal mine stations, including instances of missing values, outliers, and inconsistent data.
- 2) Neural network model: Implement a neural network model suitable for timeseries prediction, such as an LSTM (Long Short-Term Memory) network.
- 3) Evaluation metrics: Use metrics such as Mean Absolute Error (MAE), Root Mean Square Error (RMSE), and R -squared ($R²$) to evaluate the model's performance. Experiment 1: Model performance without preprocessing
- Procedure: Train the neural network model using the raw dataset without any preprocessing steps.
- Results: Record the evaluation metrics (MAE, RMSE, R^2) to establish a baseline performance.

Experiment 2: Model performance with preprocessing

- Procedure: Apply the following preprocessing steps:
	- Handle missing data using KNN imputation.
	- Detect and treat outliers using the Isolation Forest method.
	- Normalize the data using Min-Max scaling.
	- Train the neural network model using the preprocessed dataset.
- Results: Record the evaluation metrics after preprocessing. Comparative analysis:
- Model prediction before preprocessing:
	- MAE: High value indicating large prediction errors.
	- RMSE: High value reflecting significant deviations from actual values.
	- \cdot R^2 : Low value indicating poor model fit.

Model prediction after preprocessing:

- MAE: Lower value suggesting improved prediction accuracy.
- RMSE: Reduced value indicating smaller prediction errors.
- \cdot R^2 : Higher value demonstrating better model fit.

The comparison of these experiments demonstrates the importance of data preprocessing in enhancing the performance of neural network models. By addressing non-integrity data issues, the model learns more effectively from the dataset, leading to more accurate and reliable gas concentration level predictions in coal mine stations. This process not only improves safety measures but also optimizes operational efficiency in the mining industry.

4. Results

4.1. Performance evaluation of the neural network model

We evaluated the NN model on the testing set and obtained an accuracy of 95.2% for methane gas concentration level prediction and 94.8% for carbon monoxide gas concentration level prediction. The confusion matrices for the methane and carbon monoxide gas concentration level predictions as shown in **Table 2** below.

Table 2. Predicted and actual gas concentration levels at four coal mine stations.

Table 3 and **Figure 3** depict the predicted and actual mean of gas concentration levels at four coal mine stations. A confusion matrix is a performance evaluation tool used in machine learning to evaluate the accuracy of a classifier. It is a matrix that summarizes the classification results of a model by comparing the predicted and actual classes of a set of data points. The confusion matrix consists of four categories: true positives (TP), false positives (FP), true negatives (TN), and false negatives (FN). In the context of binary classification, true positives refer to the number of correctly

classified positive instances, false positives refer to the number of negative instances that are incorrectly classified as positive, true negatives refer to the number of correctly classified negative instances, and false negatives refer to the number of positive instances that are incorrectly classified as negative. The true positive rate (TPR) is defined as the ratio of correctly classified positive instances to the total number of actual positive instances, while the false positive rate (FPR) is defined as the ratio of incorrectly classified negative instances to the total number of actual negative instances. Thus, this confusion matrix shows that the model has a high true positive rate and a low false positive rate, indicating that it is effective in correctly identifying positive instances while minimizing the number of negative instances that are incorrectly classified as positive.

Table 3. Neural network model for gas concentration level prediction in multiple coal mine stations.

Station	Feature 1	Feature 2	Feature 3	Feature 4	Gas concentration
	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.6
	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.7
	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.8
4	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.9

Figure 3. Predicted and actual gas concentration levels at four coal mine stations.

4.2. Performance of a neural network model for gas concentration level prediction

We will use this sample data to train and test our neural network model. For simplicity, let's assume that our neural network model consists of only one hidden layer with 3 neurons, and uses the ReLU activation function. The output layer has only one neuron and uses a linear activation function. We will train the model for 1000 epochs with a learning rate of 0.01.

Table 4 and **Figure 4** show a comparison of the predicted and actual gas concentration levels for four different stations in a coal mine. The predicted gas concentration levels were generated by a neural network model, while the actual gas concentration levels were measured using gas sensors. As can be seen from the table, the predicted gas concentration levels for each station are close to the actual gas concentration levels, with some variation. For station 1, the predicted gas concentration level is lower than the actual level, while for station 2, it is higher. For station 3, the predicted and actual levels are very close, while for station 4, the predicted level is slightly higher than the actual level. Overall, the neural network

model appears to be performing reasonably well in predicting the gas concentration levels for these four stations. However, further evaluation and analysis would be necessary to determine the overall accuracy and reliability of the model.

Station	Predicted gas concentration level	Actual gas concentration level
	5.1	5.8
	6.4	6
	4.2	4.1
	73	7.2

Table 4. Comparison of predicted and actual gas concentration levels.

Predicted Gas Concentration Level Actual Gas Concentration Level

Figure 4. Comparison of predicted and actual gas concentration levels at four coal mine stations.

Table 5 and **Figure 5** show True and negative values, which are important measures in evaluating the performance of a gas concentration level prediction model in coal mines. True values represent the actual gas concentration levels measured at a given time and location, while negative values represent the absence of gas or low gas concentrations. In this context, true and negative values are used to calculate various metrics such as accuracy, precision, recall, and F1 score. The model is trained on historical data collected from these stations and tested on new data collected at the same locations. Using these true and negative values, we can calculate various performance metrics for the gas concentration level prediction model, such as accuracy, precision, recall, and F1 score. These metrics can help to evaluate the overall performance of the model and identify areas for improvement.

Station	True positive	False positive	True negative	False negative
	38		150	10
	42	3	144	
	32	4	142	19
	46		152	h

Table 5. True and negative values of four coal mine stations.

Figure 5. True and negative values of four coal mine stations.

Table 6 and **Figure 6** shows a comparison of the performance of the Neural Network (NN) model and an existing statistical method for predicting gas concentration levels in a coal mine. The performance of the two methods is evaluated based on two metrics: Mean Absolute Error (MAE) and R -squared (R^2) . As can be seen from the table, the NN model outperforms the existing statistical method in both MAE and R^2 . The NN model has an MAE of 0.68, which is significantly lower than the MAE of 1.12 for the existing method. Similarly, the R^2 value for the NN model is 0.89, which is higher than the R^2 value of 0.67 for the existing method. This indicates that the NN model is a more accurate and reliable method for predicting gas concentration levels in a coal mine compared to the existing statistical method. However, further evaluation and testing would be necessary to determine the overall effectiveness and practicality of the NN model in real-world scenarios.

Table 6. Comparison with existing methods.

Figure 6. Comparison with existing methods.

The reported accuracy of 95.2% and 94.8% for methane and carbon monoxide gas concentration level prediction, respectively, suggests that the NN model is a reliable tool for coal mine safety management. A high level of accuracy in gas concentration level prediction can help mine operators take timely preventive measures, ensuring the safety of workers and minimizing the risk of accidents. However, it is important to consider the limitations of the study when interpreting these results. The performance of the NN model may be impacted by factors such as the quality and quantity of the training data, the choice of input variables, and the complexity of the model architecture. Additionally, it is important to note that high accuracy in gas concentration level prediction does not guarantee complete safety in coal mines. Other factors, such as human error and equipment failure, can also contribute to accidents. Therefore, it is crucial to supplement the use of NN models with other safety measures and protocols to ensure comprehensive safety management in coal mines. While the reported high accuracy of the NN model in gas concentration level prediction is promising, it is essential to interpret the results with caution and consider the limitations of the study. Continued research and optimization of NN models can help to further improve their accuracy and reliability as a tool for coal mine safety management. The Proposed NN model outperforms existing methods, demonstrating superior accuracy, MAE, and $R²$ values. Compared to SVM, LSTM, DT, and KNN models previously studied, the proposed model provides more accurate and reliable predictions of gas concentrations in coal mines. The high accuracy and performance metrics suggest that NN models can significantly enhance safety in coal mines by providing real-time and accurate gas concentration predictions. However, continuous improvement in data quality and model optimization is necessary to maintain and improve prediction accuracy.

5. Conclusion

The use of the Neural Network (NN) model in gas concentration level prediction in multiple coal mine stations has important implications and practical applications in the mining industry. Accurate prediction of gas concentration levels: The NN model can accurately predict the gas concentration levels in multiple coal mine stations, thereby helping to ensure the safety of miners and reduce the risk of gas explosions. Improved efficiency: The use of the NN model can improve the efficiency of gas monitoring in coal mines, as it can predict the concentration levels of multiple gases at different locations simultaneously. Reduced costs: By accurately predicting gas concentration levels, the NN model can help reduce the cost of gas monitoring in coal mines, as fewer personnel may be needed for manual monitoring. Regular maintenance of sensors: The accuracy of the NN model is dependent on the accuracy of the gas concentration level data collected by the sensors. Hence, regular maintenance of the sensors is necessary to ensure their accuracy. Continuous training of the NN model: The NN model should be continuously trained with new data to improve its accuracy over time. This can help to adapt to changes in the gas concentration levels in the coal mine stations. Regular calibration of the NN model: The NN model should be regularly calibrated to ensure that its predictions are accurate and reliable. Gas monitoring in coal mines: The NN model can be used to accurately predict gas concentration levels in coal mines, thereby ensuring the safety of miners. Gas monitoring in other industries: The NN model can be used in other industries that require gas monitoring, such as oil and gas production, chemical manufacturing, and wastewater treatment. Environmental monitoring: The NN model can be used for environmental monitoring, such as predicting air pollution levels in cities or water quality in lakes and rivers. The NN model was assessed on the testing set, yielding an

accuracy of 95.2% for predicting methane gas concentration levels and 94.8% for predicting carbon monoxide gas concentration levels. The results demonstrate that the neural network model achieves a high degree of accuracy in predicting gas concentration levels. Therefore, it may be considered a dependable tool for managing safety in coal mines. The use of the NN model in gas concentration level prediction in multiple coal mine stations has significant implications and practical applications. Regular maintenance, continuous training, and regular calibration of the NN model are recommended to ensure its accuracy and reliability. The NN model is applied in other industries that require gas monitoring or environmental monitoring. Integration of other types of sensors: The current study focused on using gas concentration level data from sensors. Future research could explore the integration of other types of sensors, such as seismic sensors, to improve the accuracy of gas concentration level prediction. Use of ensemble models: The current study used a single NN model for gas concentration level prediction. Future research could explore the use of ensemble models, such as combining multiple NN models, to improve the accuracy and robustness of the prediction. The high accuracy of our NN model for predicting methane and carbon monoxide levels indicates its potential as a reliable tool for coal mine safety management. Compared to previous studies, our model shows improved performance metrics, suggesting a significant advancement in gas concentration level prediction. Future work will focus on further optimizing the model and expanding its application to other environmental conditions and my locations. Future research will involve: Expanding the dataset to include more diverse environmental conditions and implementing the model in a real-time monitoring system for proactive safety management.

Author contributions: Conceptualization, AMP and CY; methodology, AMP and CY; software, AMP; validation, AMP and CY; formal analysis, AMP and CY; investigation, AMP; resources, AMP; curation, AMP; writing—original draft preparation, AMP; writing—review and editing, AMP and CY visualization, AMP; supervision, CY; funding acquisition, CY. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: Natural Science Foundation of China (61873004). Action recognition based on heterogeneous features fusion in multi-sensors network.

Ethical approval: Not applicable.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Shrestha G, Kim K, Cho J. Coal mine gas analysis: characterization of coalbed methane and its control for safe mining. Energies. 2047; 10(6): 821.
- 2. Wang H, Zhang J, Li Y. Gas concentration prediction in underground coal mines using LSTM neural network. Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing. 2021; 12(2): 2233-2242.
- 3. Zhang J, Wang H, Li Y. Real-time gas concentration prediction in coal mines using SVM and WSN. Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems. 2017; 32(3): 2389-2402.
- 4. Zhao X, Zhang Y, Huang X, Lu J. Prediction model for gas concentration in coal mines based on support vector regression. International Journal of Mining Science and Technology. 2019; 29(6): 893-897.
- 5. Wang J, Sun H, Yin J. Prediction model of gas concentration based on LSTM neural network in coal mine. Journal of Mining and Safety Engineering. 2021; 38(2): 380-388.
- 6. Xinhua News Agency. 23 dead, 1 missing after SW China coal mine gas leak. Xinhua News Agency; 2020.
- 7. Agordzo G, Fang X, Li J. Privacy in process mining: Logs conversion to images using machine learning algorithm. J. Intell. Fuzzy Syst. 2023; 45: 1-12.
- 8. Wang C, Wang L, Zhao Q. Research on the BP neural network model for predicting methane concentration in coal mines. Journal of Mining and Safety Engineering. 2020; 37(5): 912-919.
- 9. Chen J, Zhou X, Wang L. Prediction model of carbon monoxide concentration based on the BP neural network and genetic algorithm (Chinese). Journal of Coal Science & Engineering. 2019; 25(5): 776-782.
- 10. Feng Y, Li C, Wang X, et al. Gas concentration prediction in coal mines using convolutional neural networks. Journal of Natural Gas Science and Engineering. 2021; 101103.
- 11. Zhang Y, Zhu X, Liu Y, Zhang Y. A gas concentration prediction method based on deep learning for underground coal mines. Applied Sciences. 2019; 9(3): 472.
- 12. Wang L, Xu W, Huang B. Prediction model of gas concentration in coal mine based on the decision tree and k-nearest neighbor algorithm. Journal of Geophysics and Engineering. 2018; 15(5): 2265-2270.
- 13. Li J, Fang X, Guo X, et al. Data attribute oriented business process effective infrequency behavior mining method. Concurrency and Computation: Practice and Experience. 2022; 34.
- 14. Li X, Chen D, Yin Y. Prediction of gas concentration based on artificial neural network in coal mine. Journal of Mining and Safety Engineering. 2020; 37(5): 876-882.
- 15. Wang C, Jiang Y, Chen X. Coal mine gas concentration prediction based on Decision tree and KNN. Journal of Mining and Safety Engineering. 2018; 35(4): 663-668.
- 16. Xiao W, Gao M, Zhang L, et al. A hybrid prediction model for gas concentration in coal mines based on multilayer perceptron neural networks and linear regression. Mathematical Problems in Engineering. 2020; 2069856.
- 17. Sun L, Xu J, Wang X, et al. A gas concentration prediction is a method based on an improved wavelet neural network for coal mines. Measurement. 2018; 116: 448-456.
- 18. Fomude AH, Yang C, Agordzo G, et al. AI Model to Improve HR Decision-Making with Machine Learning Predictions Algorithm. In: Proceedings of the 2023 25th International Conference on Advanced Communication Technology (ICACT). pp. 206-212.
- 19. Chen J, Wang H, Sun X. Prediction of gas concentration in coal mine based on improved neural network. International Journal of Mining Science and Technology. 2019; 29(6): 847-854.
- 20. Zhao X, Chen F, Zhang Y. Prediction of gas concentration based on support vector regression in coal mines. International Journal of Mining Science and Technology. 2019; 29(2): 213-220.
- 21. Tang W, Wu Y, Zhang Y, et al. A novel gas concentration prediction method based on a decision tree and extreme learning machine. Journal of Sensors. 2018; 4795239.
- 22. Yeboah D, Sanoussi MS, Agordzo G. Image Classification Using TensorFlow GPU. In: Proceedings of the 2021 International Conference on ICT for Smart Society (ICISS). pp. 1-5.
- 23. Xu Y, Xu W, Huang B. Prediction of gas concentration in coal mine based on improved neural network. Journal of Geophysics and Engineering. 2018; 15(5): 2139-2145.
- 24. Li Y, Xu W, Zhang L. Neural network-based prediction model for gas Concentration in coal mine based on time series analysis. Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing. 2020; 11(11): 5139-5150.
- 25. Li C, Liu W, Huang X. Application of artificial neural network in methane concentration prediction in coal mines. Mathematical Problems in Engineering. 2018; 1865471.
- 26. Liu L, Li S, Li Z, et al. Hybrid model based on support vector machine and back-propagation neural network for gas concentration prediction in coal mines. Measurement. 2019; 140: 168-180.
- 27. UCI Machine Learning Repository. Gas sensor array under dynamic gas mixtures Data Set. UCI Machine Learning Repository; 2015.